



Allen Township Board of Supervisors
Meeting Minutes
March 25th, 2025
6:00 P.M.

A General Meeting of the Allen Township Board of Supervisors was held on Tuesday, March 25th, 2025, at 6:00 P.M. at the Allen Township Municipal Building, located at 4714 Indian Trail Road, Northampton, PA. Mr. Behler led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

1. Roll Call:

Present: Gary Behler; Dale Hassler; Paul Link; Tim Paul; B. Lincoln Treadwell, Jr., Esq.; Layla Denissen, Engineer (Barry Isett & Associates, Inc); Ilene Eckhart, Manager; Jacob Schwartz, Treasurer, and Tom Gogle, Public Works Crew Leader

Absent: Jason Frack; and Michael Schwartz, PE, Engineer (Gilmore & Associates, Inc.)

2. Announcements and/or Actions to Add New Items to the Current Agenda: Ms. Eckhart indicated that at the last meeting, there was a request for a police force feasibility study. She indicated that she circulated data to the Supervisors and she wanted to ask if the Supervisors wished to add the police force discussion to the agenda. Mr. Hassler made a motion to add discussion on a local police force to the agenda; seconded by Mr. Paul. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

3. Public Hearings: None.

4. Public to be Heard: No public to be heard.

5. Unfinished Business

A. Syncarpha Allen I Solar Farm (Kreidersville Rd.) Preliminary/Final Land Development (90 Days 4/26/25): Attorney Nate Fox introduced himself and indicated that they have received the review letters from Barry Isett and Associates dated March 20th, 2025. He stated that all items in the letter are will comply. He stated that they are seeking a conditional preliminary/final approval that would comply with all items from the Township Engineer's review letter dated March 20th, 2025. He noted that construction for the project would be starting, at the earliest, winter of 2025 or spring of 2026 due to PPL's interconnect. Mr. Fox indicated that the project does have a PennDOT required driveway resulting in them working with PennDOT. He stated that the driveway location shown on the plan is required to be relocated and that the relocation has been discussed with the Township's Zoning Officer. He indicated that the Township's Engineer is aware of the driveway relocation. He stated that they will need to do some limited clearing and grading along the shoulder of the road. He stated that the grading and clearing must be done to satisfy PennDOT. Mr. Hassler questioned if the

fence area would be completely cleared or if there should be stone on either side of the fence. He stated that from a fire standpoint, he felt that there would 2A modified stone placed from the fence line to two (2) feet out on both sides of the fence to keep vegetation from growing along and into the fence. He explained the stone would help prevent a fire from inside the property, leaving, or more or less getting from the outside to inside. He stated that the stone will help stop the fire, so a wildfire does not occur. Mr. Fox explained that within the fence area, there is adequate spacing to allow fire trucks to maneuver. He stated that the concern with placing stone or modified stone would be stormwater-related, as the stone would be considered impervious. He stated that they care for public safety, public health, and welfare, however, the stormwater did not factor the stone request into the overall design. He stated that they would be willing to discuss. He indicated that they will ensure that the Fire Company has access to the site and that a Knox box is available. He stated that they will make sure that there is adequate circulation through the property. Mr. Hassler stated that it is not a question about equipment getting into the area, but a question of preventing a fire from getting into the area, should a fire occur. He stated that two (2) feet of stone on either side of the fence would provide a fire break. Mr. Hassler stated that the stone will absorb water as it is not concrete. Ms. Denissen indicated that the proposed stone is considered impervious per the Township and Act 167 Ordinances, so if the stone were installed, it would have to be accounted for specifically if it were over 10,000 square feet of impervious cover. Mr. Behler questioned if there was any type of stone that would not be considered impervious. Ms. Denissen indicated that she was not aware of the Ordinance specifying any type of stone; however, common sense-wise, it makes sense that stone is less impervious than concrete, however, it is still considered impervious per the Ordinance. Mr. Hassler stated that it would be no different than people spraying weed killer around the fence line. Mr. Fox indicated that they could make it a condition that around the perimeter of the fence, keep it more frequently in order to keep the grass at a lower level. Mr. Link questioned if they would spray anything around the fences or if they just mowed up to the fence line. Mr. Fox indicated that they do not like to kill the vegetation so they mow up to the fence line. Mr. Link questioned how the fence was. Mr. Fox stated that he was uncertain about the length of the fence. Mr. Hassler stated that it would be okay if it was mowed more and explained that he did not want to see a fire break out and that they would have to go in with water when there is a lot of electrical items in place. Mr. Treadwell indicated that a note could be added to the plan that says something about keeping the area two feet on either side of the fence to a certain height. Ms. Denissen indicated that the fence length is about 3,500 feet. Mr. Fox stated that he would add a note to the plan to keep the grass at a specified height. Mr. Hassler stated that would need to be for both properties.

Ms. Denissen stated that she had a few items that she wished to highlight. She stated that the driveway has to be relocated due to PennDOT's sight distance requirements. Ms. Denissen referenced comment 8 in the Barry Isett Review Letter dated March 20th, 2025, which read as follows:

“8. The access drive should be less than 28 feet wide at the property line and curbed at the street line per ZO §27-1413.B(8). This section of Zoning allows the Board of Supervisors to modify these requirements. The proposed driveway width is approximately 35 feet at the property line. The Planning Commission did not express concern with the proposed driveway width at the property line. The Applicant should provide details for the new access driveway to allow the Board of Supervisors to assess this condition.”

Ms. Denissen indicated that she was uncertain on what the access width would be. She stated that if the width is greater than 28 feet, then the Supervisors would have to consider that new width at the property line. Mr. Cohn explained that the width would be 20 feet. Ms. Denissen indicated that based on that information, comment 8 would be a will comply. Mr. Cohn stated that they will anticipate a resubmission. Mr. Hassler questioned if there would be any erosion problems from cutting into the bank because it is a steep bank. Mr. Cohn indicated that the location is balanced to the point where it is at least 200 feet from the Covered Bridge Road and gets the entrance away from the curve in the road.

Mr. Link made a motion to approve waivers one through five as referenced in the Barry Isett's March 20th, 2025 letter; seconded by Mr. Hassler. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

Mr. Link made a motion to grant preliminary final plan approval subject to the March 20th, 2025 Barry Isett Review Letter, subject to a note being added to the plan for fire prevention around the fence, and that the plan be reviewed by Barry Isett after PennDOT has approved the driveway location; seconded by Mr. Paul. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

- B. Syncarpha Allen II Solar Farm (63 Spring Hill Rd.) Preliminary/Final Land Development (90 day 4/26/2025):** Mr. Fox stated that this project has the same five waiver requests as the Syncarpha Allen I project. He indicated that there was one discussion item from the Planning Commission that was relative to the treatment of the existing corrosion issues of the driveway and Spring Hill Road. He stated that they would comply with that comment. Mr. Fox stated tonight's comment regarding the two (2) foot side of the fence requests from Syncarpha Allen I is something that they will discuss for Syncarpha Allen II as well. Mr. Behler requested discussion regarding the road issue. Ms. Denissen indicated that Syncarpha will be paving approximately the first sixty (60) feet of the driveway and that Barry Isett initially recommended to pave up until the point where it splits, between the existing driveway and access to the solar farm, however, based off of the stormwater improvements that they have and the fact that they would be willing to remediate any erosion that would still be an issue. He stated that they would be using AASHTO #57 stone for the remainder of the driveway, which Barry Isett felt was sufficient. She summarized that they would pave the first 60 feet, use AASHTO #57 stone, and then if that is not adequate to stop all of the erosion issues, then they would address those issues at that time. Mr. Treadwell stated that he and Attorney Fox had a conversation about creating an indemnification and remediation agreement. He concluded that the agreement would hold Syncarpha responsible to remediate the issue should the improvement not work. Mr. Treadwell stated the agreement would be completed before the plans are recorded.

Mr. Hassler made a motion to approve waivers one through five as referenced in the Barry Isett's March 20th, 2025 letter; seconded by Mr. Paul. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

Mr. Hassler made a motion to grant preliminary final plan approval subject to the March 20th, 2025 Barry Isett Review Letter, subject to a note being added to the plan for fire prevention around the fence, that the plan be reviewed by Barry Isett after PennDOT has approved the driveway location; and indemnification and remediation agreement be in place; seconded by Mr. Link. On the

motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

- C. Resolution #2025-15 Stone Ridge Phase 3 Planning Module:** Ms. Eckhart indicated that the Township received, late today, the LVPC sign off on the planning module. She explained that the resolution would allow the Township to package everything together and send it to DEP for review and approval.

Mr. Link made a motion to approve Resolution #2025-15 Stone Ridge Phase 3 Planning Module; seconded by Mr. Paul. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

- D. Allen Township Building Renovation Phase 1 Update:** Ms. Eckhart introduced Mr. Dave Dalrymple of CHA and Mr. Lewis Emst of Mohawk Contracting & Development, who were present to discuss the schedule. Mr. Dalrymple explained that final cleaning took place yesterday and the furniture was also delivered yesterday. He indicated that the exterior painting wrapped up the previous week. The stone work is wrapped up outside and the painting of the Fire Company doors are completed. He stated that the overhead door company would be coming tomorrow morning to replace the seals. He indicated that the stone veneer was completed and washed. Mr. Emst stated that they are starting final trash cleanup outside and that the doors are hung. He stated that on Thursday the signs will be arriving and tile repair in the bathroom would be happening on Wednesday and Thursday. Ms. Eckhart stated she wished to discuss the two main items, the roof and the glass. Mr. Emst stated that he is bringing in another glass company as the previous glass company was uncooperative. He stated that the material will be in next Thursday, however, it is temporary glass as it is not bulletproof. He stated that the material for the roof has been ordered. He stated that they owed the Township final shop drawings. He stated that he should know more about the roof the following day. Mr. Dalrymple indicated that there was a type of roof that was specified for this project that is very specific. He indicated that the type of roof is a roof hugger. He stated that the roof hugger was chosen because the existing building is designed with very tight limits for structural support. He concluded that the roof huggers spread the load over the foundation of the building. Mr. Dalrymple explained that the shop drawings had to be resubmitted and they are waiting to get them back so they can obtain the engineered drawing calculations for the architecture and design. Mr. Link questioned what the delay was with the glass. Mr. Emst stated that, unfortunately, there is a long lead time that he was not made aware of until mid-February. Mr. Emst stated that part of the issue is the lack of communication from the subcontractors. Mr. Dalrymple stated that the subcontractor did not disclose any lead time to Mr. Emst. Mr. Hassler questioned if the stairwell would be cleaned out and painted. He also questioned about the debris that is downstairs. Mr. Emst stated that the stairwell would be cleaned out and the painting would commence on Friday. Mr. Dalrymple explained that the grey pattern that is in the Fire Department will be continued up the stairwell. Mr. Link questioned if they had a tentative completion date. Mr. Emst stated that it would depend on the roof or glass. Mr. Link questioned when the material was ordered. Mr. Emst stated that the roof material was ordered around the time he received the final color, which was the beginning of March 2025. Ms. Eckhart explained that the roof work is sensitive due to weather conditions. Mr. Emst stated that the roof installation will be a quick process.

- E. Bids Received for Sale of 2006 Freightliner Business Class M2 with Plow & Spreader – Municibid:** Ms. Eckhart indicated that the item was out on Municibid and that the highest bid was \$17,400.00. Mr. Hassler made a motion to award the bid to tonybarquero123 for \$17,400.00; seconded by Mr. Link. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

6. New Business

A. Park and Recreation Board – Resignations of Nicole Dotta and Adrienne Ibarra: Mr. Link made a motion to accept the resignations of Ms. Nicole Dotta and Ms. Adrienne Ibarra; seconded by Mr. Behler. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes. Mr. Behler stated that the Supervisors should discuss decreasing the Park and Recreation Board member size to five (5) members. Mr. Behler suggested that it be discussed at the next Park and Recreation Board meeting and based on the discussion, be placed on a Supervisors meeting. Mr. Behler questioned if the Ordinance would need to be changed. Mr. Treadwell stated yes, as the Ordinance states nine (9) members.

B. Authorization to Advertise Relocation of Meetings to New Allen Township Municipal Complex Location: Ms. Eckhart proposed that the first meeting take place on May 13th, 2025. Ms. Eckhart indicated that the meeting for the start of Phase II will take place on Thursday, March 27th, 2025. She stated that the contract for Phase II is well on its way. Mr. Hassler questioned when the elections would take place. Ms. Eckhart stated May 20th, 2025. Mr. Behler questioned if the Township wanted to do some type of dedication for the building. Mr. Link and Mr. Hassler felt that it should take place after everything is completed. Ms. Eckhart indicated that they could invite the State Representatives as they helped out with building.

Mr. Hassler made a motion to advertise for the dates for the meetings to take place at the new building starting May 13th, 2025 and onwards; seconded by Mr. Paul. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

C. Police Force Discussion (*Item added to the agenda*): Ms. Eckhart indicated that she shared the reports that she periodically receives from the District Justice Office. She stated that she also provided the Supervisors with the pieces from the comprehensive plan that already addressed this from the 2017-2018 Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Behler stated that the information that the Supervisors received from the magistrate was the past three years of reported crimes and the dockets that were there from Allen Township. He explained that it did show that there was a decrease in crime from the previous time frame and it is still really low volume. He stated that the Township did a questionnaire for the surrounding municipalities about their police force. He explained that one of the questions was regarding budget. Mr. Behler stated that it probably be about 1.5 to 2 million as a starting point, and then that would probably be even higher because then you need to get vehicles and equipment. Mr. Behler stated that would be for a local police force and not regionalizing, which might cost differently, but it is still going to be pretty high. Mr. Behler stated that he felt that the Township should not pay for a local police force when the Township does not pay anything for the State Police. He stated that even if the Township has to pay for State Police service, which would be about \$25.00 per resident, it will still be cheaper. Mr. Behler stated that the emergency services do respond pretty quickly and he could not imagine it being cost-effective to pay for a local police force who would respond a minute or two faster. Mr. Behler stated that at this time, he is not for a local municipal force or for regionalizing. Mr. Craig Poliner of 3076 Oakland Drive stated that the statistics were wrong and that the State Police were coming out of the other side of Bethlehem, and when someone is not breathing, they would not respond quickly. He stated that the Township would not be starting a police

force because Northampton Borough has the infrastructure. He stated that the Township would be paying for an extra car and police officer. Mr. Behler stated that Mr. Poliner will have a chance to talk, however, at this time it is for the Supervisors to express their own. Mr. Hassler stated that The State Police respond very fast. He explained that in Allen Township yesterday morning, they had an automobile accident and that the Fire Company that got there pretty quickly, however, the State Police were there before them. He stated that the dispatch center notifies the Fire Department, ambulance, and the State Police at the same time. He stated that one of the Fire Company's Officers who lived close to the accident scene arrived at the same time as the State Police. Mr. Hassler stated if there is something major they bring Officers in from Belfast and Fogelsville, or wherever they have to. Mr. Hassler stated that he has 100% confidence in the State Police providing the protection for this Township. Mr. Hassler listed larger municipalities that had State Police services. Mr. Hassler stated that Bath left Colonial Regional because Colonial Regional priced them out of the market. He concluded that it was too expensive leading Bath to go back to the State Police. Mr. Behler indicated that when the State Police were here about eight to ten years ago, they stated that if there is a call they are not responding from the Bethlehem barracks, as they have a patrol officer that is between Allen Township, East Allen Township and other municipality. He stated that they are not sitting at the barracks waiting for a call. Mr. Link stated that he believed that there is more than one patrol officer. Mr. Hassler stated that if it is something violent they then they would be at the scene. He explained that there is something major the State Police will assist local police and vice versa. Mr. Hassler stated at this point he can't see the tax increase that it would cost the Township. He concluded that the statistics show that the crime rate is low. Mr. Link indicated that he agreed with Mr. Hassler. Mr. Link stated that the statistics do not warrant a police force. Mr. Paul stated he agreed, however, maybe in five to ten years in the future if the Township keeps growing but not now.

D. Executive Session (tentative): No executive session.

- 7. Public to be Heard:** Mr. Poliner questioned the Supervisors to define a quick response. He questioned how quick is quick, when somebody's not breathing, how quick when the CPR needs to be done, and how quick does EMS get there with the fire department get there? He questioned what would happen if the Fire Department was out on another call. Mr. Poliner stated that he did not understand how the Supervisors came up with those statistics. He stated that the Supervisors should go back to your statistics for ten years at least to a feasibility study by going to the district magistrate does not tell you until you have an increase in crime but is it does not tell you of the incidents that you have. Mr. Poliner claimed that the Supervisors' statistics were from ten years ago. Mr. Behler stated that the magistrate statics were recent. Mr. Poliner indicated that the statics would not show a prowlers in the neighborhood. Mr. Link questioned who had a prowler in their neighborhood. Mr. Poliner stated that they had someone trying to sell something. Mr. Link questioned if that was a prowler or a solicitor. Mr. Poliner questioned if Mr. Link felt that this was a joke. Mr. Link stated no, however, he stated that there was prowler and that he has never heard of any incidents with a prowler and wanted to know more about it. Mr. Poliner stated it was about three years ago in North Hills. Mr. Link questioned if they called the police. Mr. Poliner stated that he did but it took 45 minutes for the State Police to show up and that they prioritize. He stated that the State Police do not patrol but the Northampton Borough Police patrol. Mr. Poliner stated that the Supervisors indicated that the crime has increased. Mr. Paul stated that was incorrect and that they said it has decreased. Mr. Behler questioned if they should ask the State Police to come in and do a presentation like they did previously. Mr. Hassler stated that he would like to see a report from the State Police, however, he felt that the issue should be addressed now. Mr. Link stated that he felt that a feasibility study was not warranted based on the statistics. Mr. Hassler agreed. Mr. Poliner discussed the businesses moving into the area and

questioned if anyone talked to the Northampton Borough Police Chief. Mr. Behler stated that the Police Chief has no control over the Borough, the Mayor has control over it. Mr. Behler explained that about seven to eight years ago, the Borough of Northampton Council was very upset and indicated in a meeting that they should not discuss merging with the Northampton Borough Police at that the time. Mr. Poliner stated it is not merging but rather utilizing their resources. Mr. Link stated they receive the same services from the State Police for free. Mr. Poliner stated that the State Police wants to charge for their services. Mr. Paul stated that would be the appropriate time to do a feasibility study. Mr. Poliner questioned how many time have Northampton Police been called in place of the State Police. Mr. Behler indicated that they are no jurisdiction to do anything within Allen Township. Mr. Poliner disagreed and stated that they do based on Act 120. Mr. Link stated he is not aware of the Northampton Police coming into the Township on a call because the State Police couldn't take it. Mr. Link asked Mr. Hassler if he knew of about this. Mr. Hassler stated he was not aware of it but he is not saying it did not happen. Mr. Poliner demanded that the Supervisors ask the Northampton Police about how many times they respond to calls in the Township. Mr. Poliner stated that it is not difficult to conduct a feasibility study. Mr. Behler indicated that Mr. Poliner is over his three minutes and that the majority of the Board is no willing to do a feasibility study.

Mr. Hassler made a motion that the Township does not have a feasibility study and that the Township is not interested in local police at this time; seconded by Mr. Link. Mr. Poliner expressed his disagreement and further explained why a police department is needed. Mr. Hassler stated that the Township had a police department, the State Police. A resident questioned why the Township needed bulletproof glass if a local police force is not warranted. Ms. Eckhart stated it is because people go into Township building and shoot at their employees. She explained that happened about six miles from her house. Mr. Link stated that it is pretty typical in any municipal building with modern renovations. Mr. Hassler stated that district magistrates' offices have them and some offices have guards. Mr. Hassler stated that even if a municipality had local police they are not going to be there if someone walks into a municipal building and pulls a handgun out.

Ms. Linda Smith, a resident of the Borough of Northampton, indicated that she was there because of the bridge that was completed at the intersection of Bullshead Road and Willowbrook Road. She stated that there are construction signs that are disassembled and thrown to the side. She stated that there are about twenty-five (25) signs. She wanted to know what was going on as far as cleaning up the signs. Ms. Eckhart stated that was on Northampton County property, however, she did find out that they might have to come back and do pavement work. She explained that they paved the tie-in so late in the season that they might have to come back and mill some of the paying out, however, she will confirm that with the Township's Engineering office. Mr. Link questioned if the project was the Bridge Commission's. Ms. Eckhart stated that it is the Northampton County General Purpose Authority Project, but it would be the County Public Works.

Mr. Behler stated that Supervisors needed to get back to the open motion regarding that the Township does not have a feasibility study and that the Township is not interested in local police at this time. He stated that there was a motion and second. On the motion, by roll call vote, all present Supervisors voted yes.

Mr. Thomas Feichtel of 3059 Oakland Drive explained an incident that happened. Mr. Feichtel indicated that an incident occurred last September with a gentleman in a truck slammed into his

neighbor's house. He expressed concerns that the driver could have been drunk or fell asleep at the wheel. He stated that it took the State Police about 25 to 30 minutes to arrive. Mr. Link questioned how quickly other emergency response teams responded to the call. Mr. Feichtel stated that it took about 15 minutes. He stated that if there a local police force they would have responded within 10 minutes. Mr. Feichtel questioned when the Wawa would be built. Mr. Treadwell stated that the plan has been approved, however, it has not been recorded. He explained that the timeframe depends on Wawa. He stated that there is a development company that develops for Wawa, then there is Wawa, Inc., and then the current property owner. He stated that they are still working on their deal, but as far as the Township is concerned, the plan is approved, and as soon as they decide to move forward, they can move forward. Mr. Feichtel asked if there were in issues. Mr. Treadwell stated that he is not privy to their conversations, however, his assumption is that they have not finalized the deal.

Ms. Patricia Rogers of 3232 Eisenhower Drive questioned where the Wawa would be built. Mr. Paul stated that it would be built across the street from Ace.

Ms. Marjorie Huber of 3076 Oakland Drive asked for the status of the dollar store. Mr. Treadwell stated that it was approved at the March 11th, 2025 meeting and that they were still working on details. Ms. Huber questioned if there was an ordinance for picking up after your dog. Ms. Eckhart stated that there is. Ms. Huber asked if signs could be place as the new people moving into the Township are not picking up after their dog. Ms. Eckhart stated that she could place a sign on her property, however, the Township does not put signs up unless it is one of the Township's detention ponds or a property that the Township owns. Ms. Huber asked if she purchased a sign if she could place it where the Stop Sign is. Ms. Eckhart stated in the grass strip between the sidewalk and the curb.

A resident of Oakland Drive asked if there is a law against feeding feral cats. The resident stated he cannot have a garden because they use it as a litterbox. He stated that behind his house it looks like a deer trail because of all the cats coming through. The resident stated the Pennsylvania State Law says feeding of cats constitutes also having raccoons that could be rabid. Mr. Link stated that cats are everywhere as there is a new cat at his place. Ms. Eckhart stated that there was not an Ordinance for feral cats.

8. Next Meetings: Mr. Behler announced the upcoming meetings. All meetings and events will be held at the Allen Township Municipal Building. These meetings and events are as follows:

- Park and Recreation Board, Thursday, March 27th, 2025 6:00 PM
- Board of Supervisors, Tuesday, April 8th, 2025 6:00 PM
- Planning Commission, Monday, April 21st, 2025 6:00 PM

9. Adjournment: There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:10 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Amber R. Averbeck



Allen Township Board of Supervisors

Meeting Agenda

Date: Tuesday, March 25, 2025 6:00 PM

Location: Allen Township Municipal Building

4714 Indian Trail Road, Northampton, PA

The Allen Township Board of Supervisors Meetings will be held at the Allen Township Municipal Building, located at 4714 Indian Trail Road, Northampton, PA.

A summary of the public comment policy and procedures is located on the last page of agenda as a reference for individuals wishing to address the Board during the “Public to be Heard” segments.

Note: Per Act 65 of 2021 requirements, this agenda was posted to the Township website and physical location of the proposed meeting, by Township staff no later than: March 21, 2025.

1. Call to Order

2. Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag

3. Roll Call

___ Dale Hassler, Board Member

___ Michael Schwartz, PE, Engineer

___ Paul Link, Board Member

___ B. Lincoln Treadwell, Jr. Esq., Solicitor

___ Tim Paul, Board Member

___ Ilene M. Eckhart, Manager

___ Jason Frack, Vice Chairman

___ Gary Behler, Chairman

4. Announcements and/or Actions to Add Items to Agenda

5. Public Hearings

6. Public to be Heard (Residents shall limit their comments to no more than three minutes)

7. Unfinished Business

A. Syncarpha Allen I Solar Farm (Kreidersville Rd.) Preliminary/Final Land Development (90 Days 4/26/25)

B. Syncarpha Allen II Solar Farm (63 Spring Hill Rd.) Preliminary/Final Land Development (90 day 4/26/2025)

C. Resolution #2025-15 Stone Ridge Phase 3 Planning Module

D. Allen Township Building Renovation Phase 1 Update

E. Bids Received for Sale of 2006 Freightliner Business Class M2 with Plow & Spreader – Municibid

8. **New Business**

A. Park and Recreation Board - Resignations of Nicole Dotta and Adrienne Ibarra

B. Authorization to Advertise Relocation of Meetings to new Allen Township Municipal Complex Location

C. Executive Session (Tentative)

9. **Public to be Heard (Residents shall limit their comments to no more than three minutes)**

10. **Next Meetings**

- **Board of Supervisors Tuesday, April 8, 2025 6PM**
- **Planning Commission, Monday, April 21, 2025 6PM**

ALL 2025 PUBLIC TOWNSHIP MEETINGS WILL BE HELD AT THE ALLEN TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL BUILDING LOCATED AT 4714 INDIAN TRAIL ROAD AT 6 PM.

11. **Adjournment**

-Public Comment Policy and Procedures-

- 1. A period for public comment will be held at the beginning of the meeting and at the conclusion of all agenda business items. Any public comments or questions shall be reserved until time on the agenda. Public comments and questions will not be permitted during the course of the Board's/Commission's business items.*
- 2. Individuals who speak must give their name, address and municipality prior to speaking.*
- 3. Time limit on length of public comment or presentation will be three (3) minutes per person, per meeting.*
- 4. A speaker will only be granted one three (3) minute extension, if given, for a maximum speaking time of six (6) minutes, at the discretion of the Chairman.*
- 5. Comments/questions shall be directed to the Board/Commission members only.*
- 6. Procedures will be in place to maintain proper decorum for the hearing. Public participation will be allowed but the Board will ensure respect for all citizens and maintain order. Personal attacks and outbursts will be ruled out of order. The Chairman of the Board or Commission may, within this discretion, rule out of order scandalous, impertinent, and redundant comment or any comment the discernible purpose of which is to disrupt or prevent the conduct of the business of the meeting.*
- 7. Individuals with lengthy written statements may submit them for the record and provide a verbal summary of three (3) minutes or less. Interested persons may email public comments via email, sent to manager@allentownship.org until 3:00pm local time the day of each meeting or by contacting the Township office. Public comment received via email will be read at the outset of the meeting. If you require an auxiliary aid, service or other accommodation, please contact the Allen Township offices in advance. In addition, an audio recording of the meeting will be posted to the Township website: www.allentownship.org within 48 hours of the meeting.*